	Case 2:23-cv-00294-KJM-JDP Docume	ent 51 Filed 12/05/24	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	WILLIE LEE BROOKS, II,	Case No. 2:23-cv-00	294-KJM-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER	
14	DANIEL CASSIE, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16		l	
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief		
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided		
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On September 9, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which		
21	were served on all parties, and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the		
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed		
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.		
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,		
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de		
26	novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by		
27	the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court		
28	///// 1		
		1	

## Case 2:23-cv-00294-KJM-JDP Document 51 Filed 12/05/24 Page 2 of 2 ...."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 9, 2024, are adopted in full; 2. Plaintiff's motion to strike affirmative defenses, ECF No. 35, is DENIED; and 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial matters. DATED: December 4, 2024. <sup>1</sup> Although the magistrate judge noted at the time other Findings and Recommendations were pending, the court since then has adopted the Findings and Recommendations related to Plaintiff's initial Motion to Strike, granting the motion in part with leave to amend, and denying

in part. See Findings & Recommendations, ECF No. 45.